



Butte-Glenn Community College District
3536 Butte Campus Drive
Oroville, CA 95965

COLLEGE COUNCIL

May 27, 2025 | 8:00 a.m.

NOTES

Members Present: Denise Adams, Alan Burwell, Melissa Cafferata-Ainsworth, Irma Gonzalez Cuadros, Virginia Guleff, Amanda Montgomery, Tray Robinson, Erik Shearer, Imelda Simos-Valdez, Jessica Snelling, Jess Vickery, Bob White

Members Absent: Mercedes Cortez-Nava, Jack Henderson, Chris Westbay

1. Standing Report - President's Council on Innovation (PCI)

Superintendent/President Guleff reported that PCI received several micro-grant applications and selected three for funding. The approved projects focus on accessibility and the use of artificial intelligence (AI).

2. Consider Constituent Group Feedback on AP 3900 Speech: Time, Place, and Manner

Academic Senate President Vickery reported that the Academic Senate approved AP 3900. The MSC Association suggested including a prohibition of vandalism to college property in the AP, although the board policy covers it. Classified Senate Vice President Burwell expressed concern that the prohibition on sleeping on campus is too broad. College Council provided clarifying language to link the prohibition to expressive activities.

It was moved by Academic Senate President Vickery, seconded by MSC Co-Chair Adams, to approve AP 3900. Motion carried with one abstention (Classified Senate Vice President Burwell).

3. Consider Constituent Group Feedback on AP 7120.4 Recruitment and Selection of Full-Time Tenure Track Faculty

Academic Senate President Vickery reported that the Academic Senate has been working AP 7120.4 for several years. Significant changes include allowing for the pre-screening of applicants and a reconfiguration of the second interview committee to allow more faculty participation.

There was no constituent group feedback on AP 7120.4.

It was moved by MSC Representative White, seconded by Academic Senate Vice President Gonzalez Cuadros, to approve AP 7120.4. Motion carried unanimously.

4. Review Constituent Group Feedback on AP 4025 Philosophy and Criteria for Associate Degree and General Education

Academic Senate Vice President Gonzalez Cuadros reported that the Academic Senate approved College Council's recommended revisions to AP 4025.

It was moved by MSC Co-Chair Adams, seconded by MSC Representative White, to approve AP 4025. Motion carried unanimously.

5. Review Constituent Group Feedback on AP 3775 Artificial Intelligence

MSC Representative White reported feedback that AP 3775 should address sustainability as well as people who decline to use AI. The Academic Senate requested that AP 3775 go back to PCI for further revision. Academic Senate President Vickery added that some senate members read the AP as an endorsement of AI and encouragement to use it. Additional verbiage about academic freedom with respect to AI was requested.

College Council discussed whether AP 3775 should have a corresponding board policy.

Vice President Shearer reported that his office has received complaints from student who believe their instructors rely too heavily on AP. AP 3775 attempts to address that issue with its focus on personal responsibility. The language was designed to be broad to account for the rapid evolution of AI.

MSC Co-Chair Adams reported feedback about accessibility concerns. Classified Senate Vice President Burwell reported feedback about the district's responsibility to implement safeguards to reduce potential threats to student privacy.

AP 3775 will go back to PCI for further revision.

6. Review Constituent Group Feedback on AP 4240 Academic Renewal

Academic Senate President Vickery reported that the Academic Senate approved AP 4240. No other feedback was reported.

It was moved by MSC Representative White, seconded by MSC Co-Chair Adams, to approve AP 4240. Motion carried unanimously.

7. Review Constituent Group Feedback on AP 4230 Grading and Academic Record Symbols

Academic Senate President Vickery reported that the Academic Senate approved AP 4230 unanimously. No other constituent group feedback was reported.

MSC Representative White indicated that College Council should expect further revision to AP 4230 with the development of credit for prior learning. It was also noted that there was discussion about shortening the timeframe for resolving the incomplete mark. Approving the current version of the AP is crucial because it outlines the use of the EW symbol.

It was moved by MSC Co-Chair Adams, seconded by Academic Senate Vice President Gonzalez Cuadros, to approve AP 4230. Motion carried unanimously.

8. Review Technology Council Charter & Bylaws

College Council reviewed the Technology Council's proposed charter and bylaws, starting with a discussion of the difference between a committee and a council. College Council will continue to consider if a council is a recommending body to an individual while committees derive from councils or constituent groups. College Council also discussed which body should be responsible for developing and implementing a college technology plan, which should be part of a unified planning process that supports the Butte College Strategic Plan and the Chancellor's Office Vision 2030. College Council recommended that the charter should clarify that the

Technology Council makes recommendations to the chief technology officer who in turn makes recommendations to the vice president for administrative services.

College Council discussed the council's membership as defined in the proposed charter. As a governance committee, it must include two representatives from each constituent group. As defined, the membership is administratively heavy. College Council recommended that the Technology Council consider which members are voting are which are advisory, with the constituent group representatives having voting rights.

College Council discussed the proposed bylaws; revisions made to the charter based on College Council feedback need to be reflected in the bylaws. The council's responsibilities need to be outlined, along with how it is dissolved if needed. College Council recommended changing the name of the committee to the Technology Planning Council to help distinguish its work from that of the IT department.

College Council requested that the Technology Council reconsider and revise their charter and bylaws.

9. Review Student Learning Outcomes Charter & Bylaws

This item will be placed on the next College Council agenda.